Chart 1 - Results obtained in relation to the evaluation methods, groups, results and main conclusions.

CONCIUSIONS.	Objective	Access	Crours of	Conclusions	#
Author/Year/	Objective	Assessment	Groups of	Conclusions	#
Country	To compare	methods	Intervention 50	The regular of this	
Yaraghi et	To compare	Evaluation:	Total: n= 58.	The results of this	0.4
al.	the	- Sexual	BG (Botox):	study showed a total of	21
[18]	effectiveness	function:	n= 28.	almost 75% success	
	of pelvic	Questionnaire	PG (Physical	rates in the two	75%
2019	floor muscle	FSFI	therapy):	therapeutic methods	
	physical	- Ability to	n= 30.	evaluated, with a	
Iran	therapy with	have sex:	Physical	decrease in the	
	the	Personal	therapy	frequency of sexual	
	treatment of	reports, home	Intervention:	dysfunction in both	
	local	visits, follow-up	Treatment with	groups. Physical	
	injection of	by phone with a	PFM relaxation	therapy with	
	botulinum	trained	exercises, FES	desensitization and	
	toxin in the	specialist.	in analgesic	electrical stimulation	
	sexual	Method:	frequencies,	techniques (FES) had	
	function of	Pre and post	massage in the	a higher success rate	
	women with	treatment	perineal region	in all FSFI domains (p	
	primary	application.	associated with	= 0.008) compared to	
	vaginismus.		IR light and	treatment with	
			desensitization.	botulinum toxin (p	
			Frequency: 3x	<0.001). Some	
			week.	aspects did not show	
			Duration: 12	statistically significant	
			weeks.	differences, being	
				duration of response to	
				treatment (p = 0.37)	
				and successful sexual	
				intercourse (p =	
				0.014).	
Aslan;	Compare how	Evaluation:	Total: n= 62.	The mean change in	
Yavuzkır	successful	- Sexual	FG (Fingers) n=	FSFI scores	19
Baykara.	rates of therapy	function:	30.	comparing pre and	
[19]	for vaginismus	Questionnaire	DG (Dilator) n=	post treatment in both	67%
	using dilators	FSFI.	32.	groups was statistically	
2020	or digital		Intervenções:	significant (p <0.001).	
	relaxation	Method:	Initial	The largest difference	
Turkey	technique (one	Application	demonstration	was analyzed on the	
	finger).	before and after	and home	FSFI scale after	
		3 months of	guidance on the	treatment. In the FSFI	
		treatment.	intervention. FG	subscale scores, the	
			was self-	domains desire (p =	
			administered,	0.026) and orgasm (p	
			followed by	= 0.017) of the group	
			application of	that performed the	
			the spouse and	intervention with	
			subsequent	dilators indicated a	
			attempt at	more considerable	
				l .	
			sexual	improvement in sexual	
			sexual intercourse. DG	improvement in sexual function. During	
			intercourse. DG	function. During	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the	function. During treatment there were 5	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the dilator weekly.	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with intervention performed with dilators and 13	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the dilator weekly. Frequency:	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with intervention performed with dilators and 13 dropouts with	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the dilator weekly. Frequency: Daily - 10 to 15	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with intervention performed with dilators and 13 dropouts with intervention performed	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the dilator weekly. Frequency: Daily - 10 to 15 minutes.	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with intervention performed with dilators and 13 dropouts with	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the dilator weekly. Frequency: Daily - 10 to 15 minutes. Duration: 12	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with intervention performed with dilators and 13 dropouts with intervention performed	
			intercourse. DG was instructed to increase the diameter of the dilator weekly. Frequency: Daily - 10 to 15 minutes.	function. During treatment there were 5 dropouts with intervention performed with dilators and 13 dropouts with intervention performed	

Zavalsi at si	To avaluate the	Evaluation	Total	For famale sevuel			
Zarski et al.	To evaluate the	Evaluation:	Total:	For female sexual	20		
[20]	acceptability	- Sexual	n= 77.	function, there were no	20		
	and	function:	GC (Waiting	significant differences			
2017	effectiveness of	Questionnaire	list):	between groups, with	71%		
_	an online	FSFI	n= 37.	the exception of			
Germany	intervention for	- Satisfaction	GI (Physical	increased satisfaction			
	vaginismus	questionnaire	therapy):	in the control group. In			
	composed of	-	n= 40.	total, 34.48% of			
	self-help	Questionnaire	Intervention:	participants in the IG			
	associated with	about Fear of	Treatment of 10	were able to have sex			
	physical	sexual	sessions	compared to 20.69%			
	therapy	relations:	composed of	in the CG. The			
	techniques for	Questionnaire	two sessions	chances of penetration			
	relaxing the PF	Dyadic Coping	with	of sexual intercourse			
	muscles and	Inventory.	psychoeducation	were 2.02 times higher			
	using vaginal	Method:	modules, one	for participants in the			
	dilators.	Pre-treatment	session with	IG compared to those			
		application,	relaxation	in the CG. Participants			
		after 10 weeks	exercises, one	who adhered to the			
		and after 6	session with	protocol and			
		months of initial	cognitive	completed all 10-			
		treatment	restructuring,	treatment sessions			
		(randomization).	one session with	showed significantly			
		,	body exposure,	greater improvements			
			one session with	than those who did not			
			desensitization	have penetration in the			
			exercises, two	relation, comparing the			
			sessions with	first and last in relation			
			gradual	to general sexual			
			exposure using	functioning, arousal,			
			finger insertion	orgasm, satisfaction			
			exercises and/or	and pain. The IG			
			dilators and,	observed greater			
			finally, two	chances of penetration			
			sessions with	in sexual intercourse			
			exercises to	for younger			
			prepare for a	participants, with lower			
			sexual	levels of education and			
			relationship or	with more sexual			
			partner.	desire, and was less			
			partifer.	effective for			
				participants with			
				greater didadic coping			
				with the situations			
				involved in the			
				relationship. Most of			
				the participants were			
				satisfied with the			
DO D : C	DO D'' (<u> </u>		online intervention.	L		
BG = Botox Group; DG = Dilator Group; FES = Functional Electrical Stimulation; FG = Fingers Group; FSFI = Female							

BG = Botox Group; DG = Dilator Group; FES = Functional Electrical Stimulation; FG = Fingers Group; FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index; GC = Group control; IG = Intervention group; IR = Light Infra-Red; PF = Pelvic Floor; PFM = Pelvic Floor Muscles; PG = Physical therapy Group; # = Check list Downs and Black.