

Revista Brasileira de Fisiologia do Exercício

Opinion

Physical fitness as a vital sign: why are we not using it in clinical practice?

Aptidão física como sinal vital: por que não a utilizamos na prática clínica?

Dahan da Cunha Nascimento 🗅, Bruno Viana Rosa 🔍, Karla Helena Coelho Vilaça e Silva 🕑

1. Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Physical inactivity can be considered a disease of the 21st century. Among several physical parameters, strength and cardiorespiratory fitness stand out as they are strongly associated with mortality and chronic diseases. Thus, we propose that physical fitness can be used as a vital sign and that strength and cardiorespiratory fitness can be applied to assess health in practice. This can be accomplished using muscular strength cutoff points for the elderly, such as < 32 kg for men and < 21 for women, using a manual dynamometer, and < 40% of body weight in isometric knee extension. Likewise, several maximal and submaximal tests, such as a 12-minute running test or step test, can be used as a low-cost alternative for assessing cardiorespiratory fitness. Therefore, the assessment of physical fitness parameters can be a promising and low-cost screening tool to identify participants at risk of disability, chronic non-communicable diseases and survival prognosis.

Keywords: muscle strength; cardiorespiratory fitness; public health

RESUMO

A inatividade física pode ser considerada como uma doença do século XXI. Dentre diversos parâmetros físicos, a força e a aptidão cardiorrespiratória se destacam por estarem fortemente associadas a mortalidade e doenças crônicas. Dessa forma, propomos que a aptidão física possa ser utilizada como sinal vital e que força e aptidão cardiorrespiratória podem ser aplicadas para avaliar a saúde na prática. Isso pode ser realizado utilizando os pontos de corte de força muscular para idosos, como < 32 kg para homens e < 21 para mulheres, com uso de dinamômetro manual, e < 40% do peso corporal na extensão isométrica de joelho. Da mesma forma, diversos testes máximos e submáximos, como teste de 12 minutos de corrida ou teste de degrau, podem ser utilizados como alternativa de baixo custo para avaliação de aptidão cardiorrespiratória. Portanto, a avaliação de parâmetros da aptidão física podem ser ferramenta de triagem promissora e de baixo custo para identificar participantes com risco de incapacidade, doenças crônicas não transmissíveis e prognóstico de sobrevivência.

Palavras-chave: força muscular; aptidão cardiorrespiratória; saúde pública

Received 2024 May 2; Accepted 2024 Oct 14.

Introduction

Physical inactivity and sedentary behavior are the diseases of the 21st century, which have been linked to chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes, cancer, and depression [1-5]. It is estimated that, between 2020 and 2023, 500 million preventable NCDs will occur due to physical inactivity, with 47% being attributed to hypertension and 43% to depression [6].

However, physical inactivity is still undervalued in public health and clinical medicine and not given the same importance as traditional risk factors such as hypertension, obesity, diabetes, and high cholesterol [2].

It is crucial to consider evaluating components of physical fitness such as cardiorespiratory, morphological, muscular, metabolic, and motor in clinical practice. Traditionally, the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) considers strength, flexibility, and cardiorespiratory fitness as components of health-related physical fitness [7]. However, flexibility does not seem to be an efficient predictor of cardio-metabolic health and mortality, despite being beneficial for joint mobility [8].

On the other hand, low levels of muscle strength have been identified as a predictor of all-cause mortality, functional, psychological, and social health in the elderly population [9-11]. Similarly, low levels of cardiorespiratory fitness increase the risk of cardiovascular mortality, cancer mortality in men, and coronary heart disease in both sexes [2,12,13].

Therefore, we propose the use of physical fitness components as a vital sign and suggest how strength and cardiorespiratory fitness can be applied to assess health in clinical practice.

Muscle strength as a vital sign

Muscle strength is a stronger predictor of death than traditional risk factors such as systolic blood pressure [14]. Furthermore, high levels of muscular strength are significantly associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality in hypertensive men, even after controlling for potential confounders such as age, physical activity, smoking, alcohol intake, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, diabetes, abnormal electrocardiogram, family history of cardiovascular disease, and cardiorespiratory fitness [14,15].

It is comprehensible that low levels of muscular strength and cardiorespiratory fitness are independent predictors of cardiovascular hospitalizations, mortality due to suicide, and all-cause mortality. Furthermore, hospital costs are approximately 20% more expensive in patients with lower strength, even after controlling for factors such as malnutrition, patient characteristics, and disease severity [16].

Therefore, the use of cut-off points already displayed in the scientific literature should be included in clinical practice for older participants, adults, and children. For older participants, a handgrip strength of less than 32 kg for men and less than 21 kg for women has been found to be the best probability of identifying mobility limitations among older adults in Brazil [17]. However, for measuring mobility, it seems more intuitive to measure lower limb strength as it has a lower correlation with physical performance tests related to mobility and a low correlation with handgrip strength and low correlation with lower limb strength [18-22]. This low correlation is due to the fact that the lower limb has a greater loss of strength when compared to the upper limb [23].

Therefore, the test of sitting and standing up from a chair can be used, as the inability to perform the test or taking more than 15 seconds to complete the test is a good indicator of mobility limitation [24]. Another possibility is to verify isometric knee extension strength, as having a strength of 40% of body weight (sensitivity was 85.7% and specificity was 82.4%) is a reliable target to verify independence of older participants to rise from a chair without using upper limbs [25].

In addition to the elderly, populations of other ages, such as children, adolescents and adults, also have reference values for muscle strength proposed by several studies [26]. However, for children, studies are even more necessary to identify reference values normalized by body mass or height, as these parameters are the ones that most influence the muscular strength of this population and not necessarily age [27].

Furthermore, it is already established that low strength in the elderly and adults is a risk factor for mortality from all causes, but there are few longitudinal studies to show how low strength in childhood and adolescence could harm you throughout your life.

Cardiorespiratory fitness as a vital sign

Cardiorespiratory fitness is normally measured through VO_{2max} , which is the maximum capacity to capture, transport and use oxygen [28]. It is an extremely important measure for cardiovascular health, being independently associated with mortality from all causes, cancer, and heart disease. An increase of one metabolic equivalent (MET) in VO_{2max} can reduce the risk of coronary heart disease by 13% and 15% [29].

There are several ways to measure cardiorespiratory fitness, the gold standard being ergospirometry - the maximum effort is performed using a portable gas analyzer [7]. However, this material involves high cost and specialized human material; indirect tests can offer a valid and alternative way of measuring VO_{2max} . Therefore, several maximum or submaximal tests, which generally associate a certain oxygen consumption with a load, heart rate or time, can be used for this purpose [30].

Among these tests, the 12-minute field tests (r = 0.79; 0.73-0.85) and 2400 m (r = 0.78, 0.72-0.83) have good validity and are practical and cheap to be used on a daily basis by adults and children [28]. For the elderly, submaximal step tests, treadmill, or cycle ergometer can be used [31].

Conclusion

Thus, this opinion article raises the concern of not utilizing the components of physical fitness, such as muscular strength and cardiorespiratory fitness, in clinical medicine and clinical practice (Figure 1). These components can be used as a promising screening tool to identify participants at risk of disability, NCDs, and survival prognosis.



Created in https://BioRender.com Figure 1 - Physical fitness as an important vital sign

Conflicts of interest There are no conflicts of interest.

Sources of funding There was no funding.

Authors' contributions

Conception and design of the research: Nascimento DC; Manuscript writing: Nascimento DC; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Rosa BV, Silva KHCV.

References

1. Arocha Rodulfo JL. Sedentary lifestyle a disease from XXI century. Clin Investig Arterioscler. 2019;31(5):233-40. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1304982

2. Blair SN. Physical inactivity: the biggest public health problem of the 21st century. Br J Sports Med. 2009;43(1):1-2.

3. Milton K, Gomersall SR, Schipperijn J. Let's get moving: The Global Status Report on Physical Activity 2022 calls for urgent action. J Sport Health Sci. 2023;12(1):5 doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2022.12.006

4. Myer GD, Faigenbaum AD, Stracciolini A, Hewett TE, Micheli LJ, Best TM. Exercise deficit disorder in youth: a paradigm shift toward disease prevention and comprehensive care. Curr Sports Med Rep. 2013;12(4):248-55. doi: 10.1249/JSR.0b013e31829a74cd

5. Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE. Exercise capacity and mortality among men referred for exercise testing. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(11):793-801. doi: 10.1056/NEJ-Moa011858

6. Organization WH. Global status report on physical activity 2022: World Health Organization; 2022.

7. Medicine ACoS. Manual do ACSM para avaliação da aptidão física relacionada à saúde: Grupo Gen-Guanabara Koogan; 2011.

8. Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, Minson CT, Nigg CR, *et al*. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2009;41(7):1510-30. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a0c95c

9. Carbone S, Kirkman DL, Garten RS, Rodriguez-Miguelez P, Artero EG, Lee DC, *et al.* Muscular Strength and Cardiovascular Disease: an updated state-of-the-art narrative review. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev. 2020;40(5):302-9. doi: doi: 10.1097/HCR.0000000000525

10. Ling CH, Taekema D, de Craen AJ, Gussekloo J, Westendorp RG, Maier AB. Handgrip strength and mortality in the oldest old population: the Leiden 85-plus study. CMAJ. 2010;182(5):429-35. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.091278

11. Newman AB, Kupelian V, Visser M, Simonsick EM, Goodpaster BH, Kritchevsky SB, *et al.* Strength, but not muscle mass, is associated with mortality in the health, aging and body composition study cohort. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;61(1):72-7. doi: 10.1093/gerona/61.1.72

12. Lee CD, Blair SN. Cardiorespiratory fitness and smoking-related and total cancer mortality in men. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2002;34(5):735-9. doi: 10.1097/00005768-200205000-00001

13. Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Labayen I, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. The Fat but Fit paradox: what we know and don't know about it. Br J Sports Med. 2018;52(3):151-3. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-097400

14. Leong DP, Teo KK, Rangarajan S, Lopez-Jaramillo P, Avezum A, Jr., Orlandini A, et al. Prognostic value of grip strength: findings from the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study. Lancet. 2015;386(9990):266-73. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)62000-6

15. Artero EG, Lee DC, Ruiz JR, Sui X, Ortega FB, Church TS, *et al*. A prospective study of muscular strength and all-cause mortality in men with hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57(18):1831-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.12.025

16. Guerra RS, Amaral TF, Sousa AS, Pichel F, Restivo MT, Ferreira S, *et al*. Handgrip strength measurement as a predictor of hospitalization costs. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2015;69(2):187-92. 10.1038/ejcn.2014.242

17. Delinocente MLB, de Carvalho DHT, Maximo RO, Chagas MHN, Santos JLF, Duarte YAO, *et al*. Accuracy of different handgrip values to identify mobility limitation in older adults. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2021;94:104347. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2021.104347

18. Harris-Love MO, Benson K, Leasure E, Adams B, McIntosh V. The Influence of Upper and Lower Extremity Strength on Performance-Based Sarcopenia Assessment Tests. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 2018;3(4):53. doi: 10.3390/jfmk3040053

19. Martien S, Delecluse C, Boen F, Seghers J, Pelssers J, Van Hoecke AS, *et al.* Is knee extension strength a better predictor of functional performance than handgrip strength among older adults in three different settings? Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;60(2):252-8. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2014.11.010

20. Bohannon RW. Dynamometer measurements of grip and knee extension strength: are they indicative of overall limb and trunk muscle strength? Percept Mot Skills. 2009;108(2):339-42. doi: 10.2466/ PMS.108.2.339-342

21. Felicio DC, Pereira DS, Assumpcao AM, de Jesus-Moraleida FR, de Queiroz BZ, da Silva JP, et al. Poor correlation between handgrip strength and isokinetic performance of knee flexor and extensor muscles in community-dwelling elderly women. Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2014;14(1):185-9. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12077

22. Rodacki ALF, Boneti Moreira N, Pitta A, Wolf R, Melo Filho J, Rodacki CLN, *et al.* Is handgrip strength a useful measure to evaluate lower limb strength and functional performance in older women? Clin Interv Aging. 2020;15:1045-56. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S253262. eCollection 2020 23. Samuel D, Wilson K, Martin HJ, Allen R, Sayer AA, Stokes M. Age-associated changes in hand grip and quadriceps muscle strength ratios in healthy adults. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2012;24(3):245-50. doi: 10.1007/BF03325252

24. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, Penninx BW, *et al.* Added value of physical performance measures in predicting adverse health-related events: results from the Health, Aging And Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57(2):251-9. doi: 10.1111/j. 1532-5415.2008.02126.x

25. Bohannon RW. Body weight-normalized knee extension strength explains sit-to-stand independence: a validation study. J Strength Cond Res. 2009;23(1):309-11. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e31818eff0b

26. Benfica PDA, Aguiar LT, Brito SAF, Bernardino LHN, Teixeira-Salmela LF, Faria C. Reference values for muscle strength: a systematic review with a descriptive meta-analysis. Braz J Phys Ther. 2018;22(5):355-69. doi: 10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.02.006

27. McKay MJ, Baldwin JN, Ferreira P, Simic M, Vanicek N, Burns J, et al. Normative reference values for strength and flexibility of 1,000 children and adults. Neurology. 2017;88(1):36-43. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000003466

28. Taylor HL, Buskirk E, Henschel A. Maximal oxygen intake as an objective measure of cardio-respiratory performance. J Appl Physiol. 1955;8(1):73-80. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1955.8.1.73

29. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Maki M, Yachi Y, Asumi M, *et al*. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta--analysis. JAMA. 2009;301(19):2024-35. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.681

30. Mayorga-Vega D, Bocanegra-Parrilla R, Ornelas M, Viciana J. Criterion-related validity of the distance- and time-based walk/run field tests for estimating cardiorespiratory fitness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0151671. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151671

31. Smith AE, Evans H, Parfitt G, Eston R, Ferrar K. Submaximal exercise-based equations to predict maximal oxygen uptake in older adults: a systematic review. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2016;97(6):1003-12. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2015.09.023